

The Simple Rules of Risk

Revisiting the Art of Financial Risk Management

Erik Banks



JOHN WILEY & SONS, LTD

The Simple Rules of Risk

Wiley Finance Series

An Introduction to Capital Markets: Products, Strategies, Participants

Andrew Chisholm

Swaps and Other Instruments

Richard Flavell

Securities Operational Management

Michael Simmons

Monte Carlo Methods in Finance

Peter Jäckel

Modeling and Measuring Operational Risk: A Quantitative Approach

Marcelo Cruz

Structured Products: A Complete Toolkit to Face Changing Financial Markets

Roberto Knop

Government Bond Markets in the Euro Zone

Analistas Financieros Internacionales

Building and Using Dynamic Interest Rate Models

Ken Kortanek and Vladimir Medvedev

Structured Equity Derivatives: The Definitive Guide to Exotic Options and Structured Notes

Harry Kat

Advanced Modelling in Finance

Mary Jackson and Mike Staunton

Operational Risk: Measurement and Modelling

Jack King

Advanced Credit Risk Analysis: Financial Approaches and Mathematical Models to Assess, Price and Manage Credit Risk

Didier Cossin and Hugues Pirotte

Dictionary of Financial Engineering

John F. Marshall

Pricing Financial Derivatives: The Finite Difference Method

Domingo A. Tavella and Curt Randall

Interest Rate Modelling

Jessica James and Nick Webber

Handbook of Hybrid Instruments: Convertible Bonds, Preferred Shares, Lyons, ELKS, DECS and Other Mandatory Convertible Notes

Izzy Nelken (ed.)

Options on Foreign Exchange, Revised Edition

David F. DeRosa

The Handbook of Equity Derivatives, Revised Edition

Jack Francis, William Toy and J. Gregg Whittaker

Volatility and Correlation in the Pricing of Equity, FX and Interest-Rate Options

Riccardo Rebonato

Risk Management and Analysis vol. 1: Measuring and Modelling Financial Risk

Carol Alexander (ed.)

Risk Management and Analysis vol. 2: New Markets and Products

Carol Alexander (ed.)

Credit Derivatives: A Guide to Instruments and Applications

Janet Tavakoli

Interest-Rate Option Models: Understanding, Analysing and Using Models for Exotic Interest-Rate Options (second edition)

Riccardo Rebonato

The Simple Rules of Risk

Revisiting the Art of Financial Risk Management

Erik Banks



JOHN WILEY & SONS, LTD

Copyright 2002 John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester,
West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England

Telephone (+44) 1243 779777

Email (for orders and customer service enquiries): cs-books@wiley.co.uk

Visit our Home Page on www.wileyeurope.com or www.wiley.com

All Rights Reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise, except under the terms of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 or under the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1T 4LP, UK, without the permission in writing of the Publisher.

Requests to the Publisher should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England, or emailed to permreq@wiley.co.uk, or faxed to (+44) 1243 770571.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold on the understanding that the Publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Other Wiley Editorial Offices

John Wiley & Sons Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

Jossey-Bass, 989 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103-1741, USA

Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Boschstr. 12, D-69469 Weinheim, Germany

John Wiley & Sons Australia Ltd, 33 Park Road, Milton, Queensland 4064, Australia

John Wiley & Sons (Asia) Pte Ltd, 2 Clementi Loop #02-01, Jin Xing Distripark, Singapore 129809

John Wiley & Sons Canada Ltd, 22 Worcester Road, Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada M9W 1L1

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Banks, Erik.

The simple rules of risk : revisiting the art of financial risk management / Erik Banks.

p. cm. — (Wiley finance series)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-470-84774-3 (alk. paper)

1. Financial futures. 2. Risk management. I. Title. II. Series.

HG6024.3 .B36 2002

658.15'5—dc21

2002071302

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0-470-84774-3

Typeset in 10/12pt Times by TechBooks, New Delhi, India

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Antony Rowe Ltd, Chippenham, Wiltshire

This book is printed on acid-free paper responsibly manufactured from sustainable forestry, in which at least two trees are planted for each one used for paper production.

Contents

Acknowledgements	xv
Biography	xvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Risk and risk management	1
1.2 Qualitative and quantitative approaches to risk management	2
1.3 Financial losses and failures of the risk process	6
1.3.1 Showa Shell Seikyu	8
1.3.2 Procter and Gamble	9
1.3.3 Metallgesellschaft	10
1.3.4 Orange County	10
1.3.5 Barings	11
1.3.6 Sumitomo Corporation	12
1.3.7 Long Term Capital Management (LTCM)	13
1.3.8 Enron	14
1.3.9 Allfirst	15
1.4 Diagnosing risk process problems	16
1.4.1 Flaws in governance	16
1.4.2 Flaws in identification and measurement	17
1.4.3 Flaws in reporting and monitoring	17
1.4.4 Flaws in management	18
1.4.5 Flaws in infrastructure	19
1.5 Strengthening risk practices	20
1.6 The simple rules of risk	21
1.6.1 The cardinal rules	22
2 Philosophy of Risk	25
2.1 Risk-taking should be aligned with other corporate priorities, directives and initiatives	25
2.2 Risk should be viewed on an enterprise-wide basis in order to understand how it impacts the entire organization	27

2.3	Deciding to become an active risk taker without implementing a robust risk process is likely to lead to financial losses	27
2.4	Actively assuming risk requires support from key stakeholders and commitment of necessary financial resources	28
2.5	Risk generates profits, and can therefore benefit a firm — it must, however, be managed properly	28
2.6	Risk is a finite resource that is driven by capital	29
2.7	Risk capacity is not free and proper compensation must be obtained; the process should be disciplined and applied without exception	30
2.8	More risk should be taken when it makes sense to do so — but only if the reasons are well established and the returns appropriate	30
2.9	A robust risk/return framework should be used to evaluate the performance of risk-taking activities	31
2.10	Risk-taking should be confined to areas in which a firm has technical expertise and a competitive advantage	31
2.11	“Worst case scenarios” happen with considerable frequency in an era of volatility and event risk. the lessons of history — financial cycles and crises — provide useful risk information	31
2.12	Understanding the dynamics of different risk classes can help define an approach to risk	32
2.13	Senior management should know the strengths, weaknesses, motivations, expertise and risk behavior of its business leaders and risk takers	33
2.14	Healthy skepticism — though not cynicism — can be useful in considering risks	33
2.15	Though risk activities of financial and non-financial companies are based on similar principles, they often feature important differences that must be thoroughly understood	34
2.16	Creating a risk capability and presence should be regarded as a long-term endeavor	34
2.17	Once a risk philosophy is defined, it should be communicated clearly and followed with discipline	35
3	Risk Governance	37
3.1	Risk classes need to be clearly defined and delineated	39
3.2	Clear expression of firm-wide risk appetite is essential	39
3.3	The risk governance structure should assign responsibility for risk to senior officials from various parts of the organization; these officials must ultimately be accountable to the board of directors	40
3.4	Accountability for risk must run from the top to the bottom of an organization; senior management must not claim to be unaware of risk, or be in a position where they are unaware of risk	41
3.5	Human judgment is remarkably valuable; years of “crisis experience” can be far more valuable than recommendations generated by models	41
3.6	Independence of the risk function must be undoubted	42
3.7	Other key control functions must remain equally independent of the business	43

3.8	The risk process must be dynamic in order to be truly effective	43
3.9	Disciplined application of the risk process is a necessity	43
3.10	An ineffective control process is a source of risk that must be addressed	44
3.11	Risk takers must have clear reporting lines and accountabilities	44
3.12	Compensation policies for risk takers must be rational	45
3.13	Trading managers and investment bankers should be the front line of risk management — accountable, in a measurable way, for assuming “good” risks	46
3.14	Once management has confidence in its risk process, it should let business managers conduct business and monitor the results	46
3.15	Appropriate limits should exist to control risks	47
3.16	Risk policies should be used to define and control all risk activities	47
3.17	A new product process should exist to evaluate the nuances and complexities of new instruments, markets and transactions; the same should apply to capital commitments	48
3.18	The nature and structure of risk policies, metrics and reporting should be reviewed regularly to account for changing dimensions of business	49
3.19	An effective disciplinary system is crucial; if limits/policies are breached, quick disciplinary action must be taken — if decisive action is not taken, the risk governance process loses credibility	49
3.20	The risk organization must carry stature, experience and authority in order to command respect	50
3.21	The knowledge that an experienced group of professionals is scrutinizing risk is a very powerful risk management tool	50
3.22	Hiring the best risk experts available, with a broad range of credit, market, legal and quantitative experience, is a worthwhile investment in the firm’s future	51
3.23	Ensuring the risk function possesses the right mix of skills and experience strengthens the management process	51
3.24	Risk takers, risk managers and other control professionals should rotate regularly to remain “fresh” in their experience and perspectives	52
3.25	Risk expertise must be disseminated throughout the organization	52
3.26	Preserving an institutional memory of risk issues is important for future management of risk within a company	53
3.27	General risk education should be mandatory throughout the firm	53
3.28	Educational efforts should focus on concepts that are part of the daily operating environment	54
3.29	Risk specialists should question and probe until they are satisfied with the answers — they should not be afraid to query and challenge “business experts,” even when it seems difficult to do so	54
3.30	Risk management spans many fronts — allies in audit, finance, legal and operations can help in the process	55
3.31	A constructive relationship with business units can be more productive than an adversarial one; but a constructive relationship does not mean approving all business deals and risks	55
3.32	Risk decisions should be made quickly and firmly; overruling the decisions of risk subordinates should be kept to an absolute minimum	56

3.33	Consistency is vital throughout the risk control organization; this eliminates the possibility of “internal arbitrage” across regions and businesses	56
3.34	Risk officers should be involved in every aspect of the firm that has a risk dimension to ensure that the proper perspective is always represented	57
3.35	A risk crisis management program, with clear authorities, responsibilities and expectations, should be designed for quick implementation	57
3.36	Sensitivity to regulatory requirements is important	58
3.37	The governance process must provide senior managers with an ability to view and manage risk on a regulatory/legal entity basis	58
3.38	Regular internal audits of the risk process should be performed	59
4	Risk Identification	61
4.1	Proper identification of risk can only occur after a thorough understanding of a product, transaction, market or process has been gained	61
4.2	All dimensions of risk must be identified; risks that might be less apparent at the time of analysis should not be ignored, as they can become more prominent as market conditions change	62
4.3	The identification process should serve as the base for the quantification process; risks that are identified should be quantified, and ultimately limited, in some manner	62
4.4	The identification process should follow a logical progression — beginning with the most common or essential, and moving on to the more complex or esoteric	63
4.5	In the search for more complex dimensions of risk, care must be taken not to overlook the most obvious risks	64
4.6	Risk identification should be an ongoing process that continually re-examines all dimensions of exposure	64
4.7	Risk officers should work with traders, product experts and finance personnel to analyze products and identify risks	65
4.8	Risk specialists must focus on details because the discipline is complex; but reviewing broader “macro” issues is also an important part of the risk process	65
4.9	Cooperation between different control units can lead to identification of risks that “cross boundaries”	66
4.10	All sources of settlement risk must be identified	66
4.11	Hedges may not always function as intended; potential “problem hedges” should be identified in advance	67
4.12	Risk arising from convergence/divergence trades must be identified	67
4.13	Models used to price and manage risks may contain risks of their own	68
4.14	Risk exposures created through changes in the structure and timing of cash flows must be identified	68
4.15	New products and markets can contain special risks that have not been encountered before; these risks should be thoroughly understood	69

4.16	Local markets may possess very unique risks and due care must be taken to understand them	69
4.17	“Risk-free” strategies with above average returns are rarely risk-free; pockets of “hidden” or structural risk may exist	70
4.18	If the identification process reveals that a large number of firms are extending credit to a counterparty, caution should be exercised	70
4.19	The existence of “credit cliffs” can result in the creation of sub-investment grade credit exposures, and should be identified in advance	71
4.20	Market risk concentrations must be properly identified	71
4.21	Understanding and identifying the links between liquidity, leverage, funding and exposure is vital	72
4.22	During times of market stress, market and credit risks can become linked; advance identification of these linkages can help avoid problems	72
4.23	Risk outside a specialist’s domain that is discovered during the identification stage should be forwarded to a unit with direct responsibility	73
4.24	Identifying the source of the next “large loss” can provide guidance on the nature/quality of controls needed to protect against such a loss	73
4.25	If an unexpected loss occurs, the identification process may not be working correctly and should be reviewed	74
5	Risk Quantification and Analysis	77
5.1	Risks discovered in the identification stages should be decomposed into quantifiable terms; this allows exposures to be constrained and monitored	77
5.2	Though certain risks can be difficult to quantify, basic attempts at measurement are important in order to obtain an indication of riskiness	78
5.3	Models are based on assumptions that may, or may not, be realistic; assumptions, and the impact they can have on valuation, must be well understood	78
5.4	Models should not be used to the point of “blind faith” — they are only ancillary tools intended to supplement the risk process	79
5.5	It is important to know which risks are marked-to-model and why	80
5.6	The effects of volatility on risk exposures should be quantified	80
5.7	The impact of correlation between assets, and between assets and counterparties, should be quantified	81
5.8	The valuation of large positions should be regarded with skepticism; proof, through periodic, random liquidation exercises, can help provide an assessment of fair value	82
5.9	Use of traditional risk quantification techniques may underestimate potential market risk losses if a portfolio or business is very illiquid	82
5.10	Scenario analysis can be useful in quantifying how risk profiles change with fluctuating variables	83
5.11	Quantifying the effect of “disaster” scenarios on risk portfolios is useful, but managing to such scenarios is not an advisable practice	83

5.12	“Safe” assets and exposures can become risky in a crisis—quantifying the downside of such exposures is useful	84
5.13	Credit and market risk linkages should be quantified when possible	84
5.14	Leverage can magnify credit, market, funding and liquidity risks and must be factored into any quantification exercise	85
5.15	Relying on a mark-to-market calculation as an estimate of replacement cost at the time of default might result in an understatement	85
5.16	Quantifying credit exposures on a net basis should only be done when a firm has appropriate counterparty documentation and is operating in a jurisdiction where netting is legally recognized	86
5.17	The efficacy of risk analytics should be demonstrated through regular quantitative testing	86
5.18	Independent verification of the analytics used to quantify risks should be undertaken	87
6	Risk Monitoring and Reporting	89
6.1	If risk cannot be monitored it cannot be managed	89
6.2	Top risks should be monitored continuously	89
6.3	The use of a “risk watchlist” report, which alerts participants to potential concerns or problem areas, can be a valuable management tool	90
6.4	Standard risk reports should be supplemented by special reports that provide an indication of illiquidity, mismarks and other problems	90
6.5	It is more useful to have timely reporting of 90% of a firm’s risk exposure than delayed reporting of 100%	91
6.6	Information should not come from multiple sources—a single, independent source should be used as the kernel for all reports, and should be audited for accuracy on a regular basis	91
6.7	The ability to relate profit and loss to risk, in detail, is paramount	92
6.8	Profits must be reviewed with the same rigor as losses as they may be indicative of large, or unknown, risks	93
6.9	Some risk positions generate losses instantaneously while others bleed profits over time; P&L decomposition can help identify losses in both cases	93
6.10	Reporting should focus on the essential—simple reports that convey the right information are often the most effective tool	94
6.11	Management reporting should generally commence with broad summaries of key risks for board directors and senior executives, and increase in detail as it moves down the management chain	94
6.12	Senior managers in the risk governance structure must receive and review risk information on a regular basis	94
6.13	Ready access to detailed risk information is critical	95
6.14	Reporting should be flexible enough to provide all relevant views of risk information	95
6.15	Regulatory reports are generally not sufficient to manage a complex business	96

6.16	Regulatory reporting requirements are likely to increase over time and should be borne in mind when designing reporting mechanisms	96
6.17	More, rather than less, disclosure of credit and market risks to external parties is preferable; it adds transparency and comfort	96
6.18	Reporting should not be aimed at very limited audiences or be done “for show”	97
6.19	Use of “flash reporting” can provide an early indication of P&L and risk performance	98
6.20	Monitoring processes should be implemented to verify the nature of collateral and counterparties	98
6.21	Public credit ratings can be useful for “third party” confirmation and monitoring, but should not be regarded as a substitute for proprietary internal ratings	99
6.22	Financial markets contain a great deal of credit information — monitoring the stock prices and credit spreads of counterparties can be helpful, especially on the downside	99
7	Risk Management	101
7.1	Risk managers should be visible and available	101
7.2	Risk officers and risk takers should discuss risk issues on a regular basis	101
7.3	Risk managers should be in regular contact with market participants — the market has a great deal of information that can be used in daily management of risk	102
7.4	Risk managers should strive to be “value added” by searching for beneficial risk solutions whenever possible	102
7.5	Risk decisions should be documented clearly in order to avoid errors and misinterpretation; good documentation establishes a proper audit trail	103
7.6	When a potential risk problem is discovered, immediate action must be taken; problems must not be permitted to grow out of control	103
7.7	Risk decisions should not be driven by competitive pressures	104
7.8	If other institutions do not want to accept a risk-bearing deal, there may be a reason for it — it is important to determine whether it should be a factor in approving or declining the risk	104
7.9	Prudent risk reserve mechanisms should be established for concentrated, complex, illiquid or marked-to-model risks	105
7.10	Credit reserve mechanisms should be implemented in order to encourage active management of credit risks	105
7.11	Failure to price the cost of credit risk will ultimately lead to a misbalanced credit portfolio and credit losses	106
7.12	A risk is not hedged or sold until it is actually hedged or sold; just because it is “theoretically” possible to hedge or sell a risk does not mean that it can be done	106
7.13	Active management of asset and funding liquidity is vital in order to avoid potential losses	107

7.14	Since liquidity has a tendency to disappear quickly, conservative liquidation assumptions should be used when managing risks	108
7.15	An investment account must not be regarded as a trading account for illiquid positions	109
7.16	Large deals mean large — and possibly illiquid or unhedgeable — risks; they must be managed carefully and command an appropriate premium	109
7.17	Concentrated risks can be very damaging and must be managed actively	109
7.18	Risk takers should be limited to taking risk in specific markets and instruments	110
7.19	Risk-bearing positions must be booked/housed in officially sanctioned trading systems	110
7.20	Using financial incentives and penalties to influence risk-taking behavior is an effective management tool	111
7.21	Aggressive risk-taking behavior, which may ultimately create risk problems, should be managed closely	111
7.22	Risk mitigation should not be mistaken for risk migration	112
7.23	Risk mitigation/migration tools should be used wherever possible	112
7.24	Attempting to predict what will happen in the future is hazardous — the risk function should be realistic in assessing the time horizon of deals, structures and credits	113
7.25	Understanding why a client is entering into a complex risk trade is important; if suitability emerges as an issue, it should be made known to legal officers	114
7.26	Strong client sales practices can help mitigate risks	114
7.27	Executing a risk-bearing deal to accommodate a client or build a client relationship does not justify the assumption of bad risk	115
7.28	Where possible and feasible — and without compromising confidentiality — counterparty information should be shared with others seeking to extend credit	115
7.29	Collateral taken in support of an exposure should relate directly to counterparty credit quality, the size of the risk exposure and relevant concentration/liquidity parameters	116
7.30	Legal and operational staff should be familiar with triggers and clauses that can be influenced by credit, market and liquidity events	116
7.31	Legal documentation that protects multiple products/eventualities can help control risk exposures	117
7.32	A legal documentation backlog may ultimately lead to operational/legal errors and losses — authorizations, guarantees, confirmations and master agreements should always be as current as possible	117
7.33	Establishing documentary targets and thresholds can help limit operational and legal risks; incomplete documentation should be prioritized by creditworthiness and risk exposure	118

8 Risk Infrastructure	121
8.1 Data is the fundamental component of any risk process — bad data leads to bad information and bad risk decisions	121
8.2 A single source of trade data should be used whenever possible to ensure consistency; when this is not possible, data processes must be properly reconciled and audited	122
8.3 Technology should be made as flexible as possible in order to accommodate the changing business environment	123
8.4 Risk requirements should be a central part of any business technology blueprint	123
8.5 Technology changes that impact risk management, finance, legal, regulatory reporting and operations should always be considered jointly	124
8.6 Minimum standards related to risk technology, analytics and reporting should be applied to all risk-taking business	124
8.7 A risk control system is not a risk management system; the two are different and both are necessary	125
8.8 The technology platform that generates valuations and risk information must be under the scrutiny/control of technological auditors/risk managers	126
8.9 Changes in risk measures, processes or technology by the trading or risk management functions must be thoroughly developed, tested, reviewed and documented before being implemented	126
8.10 Use of short-term, temporary infrastructure solutions is acceptable, but these should be replaced by robust solutions as soon as possible	127
8.11 When automated infrastructure solutions are not available, the best manual solutions, with checks and balances, should be implemented	127
8.12 “Off-the-shelf” technology solutions that provide 80% or 90% of the capability a firm is seeking can be an ideal solution	128
8.13 Infrastructure contingency plans should take account of all risk requirements	128
9 Summary	131
Selected References	133
Index	135